UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/741,322	12/18/2003	Robert Elmer Sundell	132357	6921
6147 GENERAL EL	0/741,322 12/18/2003 Robert Elmer Sundell	EXAMINER		
GLOBAL RES		STINSON, FRANKIE L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/741,322	SUNDELL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. 136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te. cause the application to become ARA	ATION. Oly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. 6 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 /	April 2007				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rs, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under					
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) Claim(s) <u>8-13,15-17 and 19-37</u> is/are pending	in the application	·			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 8-13,15-17 and 19-37 is/are rejected	d.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		v the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 H.S.C. & 1	119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in priority under 00 0.0.0. g	113(4) (4) (1).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		plication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
	•				
Attachment(s)		•			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su				
2)		Mail Date ormal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:				

Art Unit: 1746

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

2. Claims 8-9, 16, 17 and 19-22 and 24-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akabane et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 5,207,764) in view of either No. et al. (U. S. Pat. App. Pub. 2003/0074932) or Japan'293 (Japan 2001-259293). Re claims 8, 16, 25 and 35, note that Akabane is cited disclosing a washing machine including a wash basket for a washing and extraction, the washing machine and basket comprising:

a cabinet:

an agitator (15)

a housing/basket (12) having an inner wall, bottom wall fabricated by a first process; and a plurality of geometric structures (28), the plurality of geometric structures rigidly attached to and extending radially inwardly from the inner wall, the geometric structures comprising a separate structure relative to the housing that differs from the claims only in the recitation of the geometric structures being, fabricated by a second process and wherein a shape of the plurality of geometric structures is configured for reducing a residual moisture content of an article contained within the wash basket during a spin cycle. Japan'293 and No are each cited disclosing the arrangement of geometric structures wherein a shape of the plurality of geometric structures is configured for reducing residual moisture content. It therefore would have been one

Art Unit: 1746

having ordinary skill in the art to modify the geometric structure in Akabane, to be as taught by either No or Japan'293, for the purpose of enhancing the removal of moisture from the articles. It is old and well known in the art that efficient of moisture removal is directly dependent the ability of the basket, through its perforations, to allow for the separated water to be sufficient carried away. Re claims 9, 17, 30, 31 and 36, to have the structures shaped or to have the specific dimensions, is deemed to be of little patentable weight in view of the corresponding structure in the applied prior art. Re claims 10, 13, 15, 26, , 27, 28, 29, 32, 33, 34 and 37, the No reference discloses the geometric structure as claimed. Re claims 19-22 and 24, Akabane disclose the means for introducing heated air.

- 3. Claims 11 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applied prior art as applied to claims 8, 16, 25 and 35 above, and further in view of Smith (U. S. Pat. No. 3,287,817).
- Claims 11 and 23 define over the applied prior art only in the recitation of the porous material. Smith is cited disclosing a geometric structure having porous material (82) as claimed. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Akabane, to include porous material as taught by Smith, for the purpose of enhancing the process of residual moisture removal.
- 4. Claim 12 is are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applied prior art as applied to claims 8, 16, 25 and 35 above, and further in view of Japan'500 (Japan 2-63500).

Application/Control Number: 10/741,322

Art Unit: 1746

Claim 12 defines over the applied prior art only in the recitation of the porous geometric structure. Japan'500 is cited disclosing a porous geometric structure (12) as claimed. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Akabane, to include a porous geometric structure as taught by as taught by Japan'500, for the purpose of enhancing the process of residual moisture removal.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In Rolkern, Andersen, Walker, Stine, jr. et al., Smith'712, Behrens, Ziegler, Hine and Sights, note the geometric structures.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1746

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and some Saturdays from approximately 5:30 am to 11:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON
Primary Examiner
GROUP ART UNIT 1746